



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/658,096	09/08/2000	Pieter Jacob Snijder	PHN 17-637	3027
24737	7590	09/07/2004	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			JAIN, RAJ K	
P.O. BOX 3001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			2664	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/658,096	SNIJDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raj Jain	2664

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 September 2000.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 08 September 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4,6.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Figure 1 requires a descriptive legend of all items illustrated within the Figure. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification requires Headings (i.e. Title, Background, Drawings, etc.) identifying each part of the specification accordingly.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bisdikian (US Pat. 6,181,687 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Bisdikian discloses a multiple access communication system comprising

-at least one primary station (101) (see Fig 1) and a plurality of secondary stations (104n, multiple subscribers accessed via fiber nodes 102),

-the primary station (101) and the secondary stations (104) being interconnected via a network (see fig 1 comprising of a general CATV network, also see col 1 lines 47-67),

-the secondary stations (104) being arranged for transmitting return signals in a return signal frequency band to the primary station (bi-directional amplifiers 103 are used for return signals see col 2 lines 27-33),

-the secondary stations being further arranged for transmitting the return signals in only a part of the return signal frequency band containing relatively little noise, characterized in that the network comprises means (40) for mapping the return signals onto the return signal frequency

band (see col 2-3, the return signal frequency bands 5MHz- 42MHz are referred to as upstream channels occupying frequency bands different than those allocated for downstream channels (above 450MHz) from the primary station 101 to the secondary stations 104).

Regarding claim 2, Bisdikian discloses the means for mapping the return signals from the primary station back to the secondary stations (see 102 within fig 1).

Regarding claim 5, Bisdikian discloses the network comprising of a coaxial cable network (107 within Fig 1).

Regarding claim 6, Bisdikian discloses the network comprising of a hybrid fiber/coaxial cable network (see Fig 1, col 2 line 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bisdikian (US Pat. 6,181,687 B1). as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fuhrmann (US Pat. 5, 745,837).

Bisdikian discloses a multi-access computer communication system where a number of stations communicate with each other over a common frequency spectrum, by sharing a

sequence of transmission time intervals. To avoid collision a contention-resolution algorithm is employed (see abstract and col 6 lines 19-63).

Bisdikian fails to disclose the incorporation of a down converter within the subject invention.

Fuhrmann discloses the use or incorporation of a down converter within a CATV system (see col 38 lines 33-60).

A up/down converter translates the frequency of a given up or down stream to an appropriate alternate frequency so as to avoid interfering with the other up or down programming transmission channels thereby reducing interference.

Therefore it would have been obvious in order to further enhance the contention resolution algorithm to avoid collision of Bisdikian one would incorporate an up/down converter so as to change the up or downstream frequency and therefore reduce and/or avoid interference amongst nearby transmitting channels.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raj Jain whose telephone number is 703-305-5652. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 703-305-4336. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,096
Art Unit: 2664

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

RJ
September 2, 2004


Ajit Patel
Primary Examiner